UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,195	12/03/2003	Shan Lu	17738-003001 / UMMC 03-24	7308
26161 7590 07/09/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON PC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1022	2 IS, MN 55440-1022		PENG, BO	
WIIININGAI OLI	13, WIN 33440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/728,195	LU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bo Peng	1648			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	<u> 2 December 2006</u> .	•			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>54-60 and 81-110</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>54-60 and 81-110</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	Application No			
Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	n received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bur	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/27/07	6)	<u></u> .			

Application/Control Number: 10/728,195 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed December 2, 2006. Claims 1-53 and 61-80 are cancelled. Claims 54-60 and 81-110 are pending and are considered in this Office action.

35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. The rejection of Claim 53 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for failing to comply with the enablement requirement, is most in view of the cancellation of the claim.

35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The rejection of Claims 54-60 and 81-110 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), as obvious over Barnett, Gao and Andre, is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 6. Applicant argues that neither Gao 1 and Gao 2 describes or even suggests any specific

Art Unit: 1648

vaccination methods, much less ones in which the claimed compositions are used. Applicant also argues that the requisite motivation is lacking in these references because the statements that Gao's constructs "should prove valuable" for vaccine development efforts is not a clear suggestion to practice any particular vaccination method.

7. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons: Barnett teaches a method of inducing an immune response against HIV in a mammal using a DNA prime and env protein boost immunization method, which is same vaccination method as the instant invention. Gao teaches a panel of envelope genes from HIV-1 primary isolates of clade A to G, including the env of HIV B715 isolate used in the instant claims. Gao also suggests that the panel of envelope genes from HIV-1 clade A to G prove valuable for AIDS vaccine development efforts targeted against a broader spectrum of viruses. Thus, all the specific vaccination methods, compositions and motivation to combine all these elements in order to develop a vaccine regiment against a broader spectrum of viruses were taught and suggested by the cited references. It is within the ordinary skill in the art to make and use a vaccine regiment containing env gene and protein from different HIV subtypes, as illustrated by Barnett and Andre. As pointed out by the Court: "A person of ordinary skill is also a person of ordinary creativity, not an automation." KSR INTERNATIONAL CO., v. TELEFLEX INC. and TECHNOLOGY HOLDING CO. Supreme Court of the United States. No. 04-1350 (2007). Thus, the invention as a whole was clearly *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. Therefore, the Applicant has not provided any compelling reasoning or evidence to overcome the obviousness rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103. Art Unit: 1648

Remarks

9. No claim is allowed. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bo Peng, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-5542. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, Ph. D. can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Bo Peng, Ph.D. June 28, 2007

BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600